



NUM.IS · PERSONAL READING

Personal Year Number

The report does three things. It shows the calculation: which numbers come out of your date, and by exactly which operation. It gives a vocabulary: how the numerological tradition describes each of those values — from both sides, as support and as cost. And it asks questions for self-observation, which you will answer, not the report. This is material for thinking and for a conversation about yourself, set out without promises.

for **Sofia**

date of birth March 24, 1988

How to read this report

This report is put together from two different things, and it is worth telling them apart from the start. The first is arithmetic: a few simple operations on your date of birth that anyone can repeat by hand and get the same result. The second is the descriptions: what the numerological tradition has come to attach to those values over its long practice. The arithmetic is checkable. The descriptions are the language of a tradition, not a measurement of your personality.

Hence the way to read it. Do not look here for a verdict on yourself. Read each section as a supposition to try your own experience against: some of it will land, some will miss, and a miss is just as normal a result as a match. We deliberately show every quality from two sides: the same property that works as a support in one place costs a lot in another. If only the flattering half of a chapter stayed with you, the chapter was read carelessly.

The report is built so that you can come back to it. The sections are independent, the order of reading is up to you, and the questions at the end of the chapters are not rhetorical: they are meant to be answered in your own words and with your own examples, and not on the spot.

One last thing. Everything written here refers to the structure of a date, not to the person who has that date. A person is wider than any chart, and no page of this report claims otherwise.

What this report does

The report does three things. It shows the calculation: which numbers come out of your date, and by exactly which operation. It gives a vocabulary: how the numerological tradition describes each of those values — from both sides, as support and as cost. And it asks questions for self-observation, which you will answer, not the report. This is material for thinking and for a conversation about yourself, set out without promises.

What it does not do

The report makes no claims about future events and names no dates or deadlines. It gives no medical, legal, financial or psychological advice, and replaces neither a doctor, nor a lawyer, nor a financial adviser, nor a psychologist. It does not grade you: there are no "strong" and "weak" people here, no "good" and "bad" combinations. And it decides nothing for you — this text has no authority to take decisions; that stays your work.

What is in this report

- 1 Your numbers: how they were derived
- 2 Your chart
- 3 Position by position

4 The limits of the method

5 Where this goes next

6 Glossary and the basis of the method

You do not have to read it in order — each section stands on its own.

Your numbers: how they were derived

Digits of the day	$2 + 4 = 6$	6
Digits of the month	$3 = 3$	3
Personal year 2026	$6 + 3 + 10 = 19$, then $1 + 9 = 10$, then $1 + 0 = 1$	1
Personal year 2027	$6 + 3 + 11 = 20$, then $2 + 0 = 2$	2
Personal year 2028	$6 + 3 + 12 = 21$, then $2 + 1 = 3$	3
Personal year 2029	$6 + 3 + 13 = 22$, then $2 + 2 = 4$	4
Personal year 2030	$6 + 3 + 5 = 14$, then $1 + 4 = 5$	5

Anything above nine is reduced by adding its digits until one is left.

Why we show the arithmetic

We print the calculation itself — what was added to what, and what came out — for one reason: whatever cannot be checked has to be taken on trust. The arithmetic here is simple: any reader can redo it by hand, without us and without a program, and get exactly the same values. It is the only part of the report we stand behind as fact. Everything else here is description, and description works differently.

Your chart



Positions

6	Digits of the day 2 + 4 = 6
1	year:2026 6 + 3 + 10 = 19, then 1 + 9 = 10, then 1 + 0 = 1
3	year:2028 6 + 3 + 12 = 21, then 2 + 1 = 3
5	year:2030 6 + 3 + 5 = 14, then 1 + 4 = 5

3	Digits of the month 3 = 3
2	year:2027 6 + 3 + 11 = 20, then 2 + 0 = 2
4	year:2029 6 + 3 + 13 = 22, then 2 + 2 = 4

Position by position

1

The first step sets the tone

1 ·

What this position describes. In this tradition the nine-year cycle opens with a one: a year described as a time when people usually reconsider direction and take first steps into something new. Within the scheme itself this year is treated as the footing for the other eight, so attention is meant to rest on the choice rather than on speed. What's typically noted is a surge of initiative and less patience for whatever runs on inertia. The resource named here is a willingness to act alone, without waiting for general agreement. The cost is the same thing by nature: the loneliness of deciding, the pull to bolt before it's clear where to, and a dull irritation at people moving slower. This isn't about the year's events but a theme for self-observation: noticing what you are actually starting, and telling the new apart from the old renamed.

In this tradition the nine-year cycle opens with a one: a year described as a time when people usually reconsider direction and take first steps into something new. Within the scheme itself this year is treated as the footing for the other eight, so attention is meant to rest on the choice rather than on speed. What's typically noted is a surge of initiative and less patience for whatever runs on inertia. The resource named here is a willingness to act alone, without waiting for general agreement. The cost is the same thing by nature: the loneliness of deciding, the pull to bolt before it's clear where to, and a dull irritation at people moving slower. This isn't about the year's events but a theme for self-observation: noticing what you are actually starting, and telling the new apart from the old renamed.

A question to sit with: Of everything you've started in recent months, what did you choose yourself, and what did you simply continue out of habit?

This is a general description. What it means in a specific situation is what a practitioner works through with you.

2

The years after this one

2 ·

What this position describes. The personal year is the one number in this method recalculated every twelve months, so it can be run forward as easily as it is run for now. Below is the same arithmetic for the next four years and the theme the tradition attaches to each. It is a sequence of frames, not a schedule of events: nothing here says what will happen, and a year whose theme sounds quiet is not a year in which nothing does.

2027 — 2. Someone else's tempo, and waiting.

2028 — 3. Everything said out loud.

2029 — 4. The rough work of the foundation.

2030 — 5. Tight frames and movement.

A question to sit with: Looking at the next four themes, which one would you rather were arriving sooner — and what does that tell you about now?

Numbers describe how a date is put together. They say nothing about where you are now, who is beside you, what you have already tried and how it ended. That is usually exactly where the question sits that reports like this get opened for — and no page here answers it.

The limits of the method

One date, one chart

The calculation rests on the date alone. So everyone born on the same day gets exactly this set of numbers and exactly these descriptions, and there are hundreds of thousands of such people in the world. The method describes the structure of a date, not the person born on that day. Everything that tells you apart from someone born the same day lies outside the calculation, and the report knows nothing about it.

A match is not proof

Reading the descriptions, you will almost certainly think more than once: this is exactly me. It is worth knowing where that feeling comes from. Texts like these are built from formulations that almost anyone recognises in themselves: "you know the doubt before an important step", "you dislike it when others decide for you". Psychologists described the effect back in the middle of the last century and have tested it many times since: people take a description handed to an entire audience as a precise hit. Recognition is a property of the language of descriptions, not a confirmation of the calculation.

What this is good for

It works as a set of formulations for a conversation about yourself: a language that makes it easier to name what you had noticed anyway. It works as a reason to ask a question and compare your version with someone else's. It works as reading for its own sake — an honest and sufficient reason to open the report. It does not work as grounds for decisions that change a life.

Where this goes next

- Run the same calculation for someone close to you and compare the two charts: the differences show up better than the similarities.
- [A practitioner works with the situation](#)
- Come back to this section in a month: what is interesting is not what is written here, but what will have changed in your answer.

Glossary and the basis of the method

Arcanum — the number of a position in the list of values from 1 to 22; traditionally means the vocabulary slot a description is attached to, not a force at work.

Reduction — adding digits down to a single place; traditionally means the way any number in a date is brought to a value from 1 to 9, and in some schools up to 22.

Life path number — the sum of all the digits of the date of birth; traditionally means the general storyline used to describe a date as a whole.

Matrix — the table the numbers of a date are laid out in; traditionally means a set of positions, each with a theme of its own.

Position — a single cell of the chart; traditionally means a topic of conversation, an attitude to money or to the people close by, for instance, rather than a score on it.

Pythagorean square — a grid of nine cells the digits of a date fall into by count; traditionally means a distribution: what a date has a lot of, and what it has none of.

Empty cell — a cell no digit fell into; traditionally means a theme the date says nothing about, not something the person lacks.

Master numbers — 11 and 22, which some schools do not reduce to a single place; traditionally means an agreement about counting, not a special status for the person.

Resource and cost — a pair of descriptions of one and the same quality; traditionally means that a property helps you out in some circumstances and costs a great deal in others.

Tradition — the body of descriptions built up over decades; traditionally means agreement among practitioners, not the result of testing.

Where the values come from

The calculation is formal: the same rules and the same date always give the same result, and you can check it by hand. The descriptions come not from measurement but from an established tradition — decades of practice and agreement between schools stand behind them, but no experiment. The method has no scientific confirmation, and we do not present it as research. We name the source plainly so the reader knows what they are dealing with.

The report is informational and for entertainment. It is not medical, legal, financial or psychological advice, contains no individual recommendations, and is no substitute for consulting the relevant specialist. The calculation follows the formal rules of the numerological tradition; the method has no scientific confirmation, and we do not present it as scientific. Any decisions taken after reading the report remain entirely at the reader's discretion.

© 2026 num.is · numerologycalculators.com